RAC Project Analysis Flow Chart Central Idaho Resource Advisory Committee March 2009

Location Title II Sec. 202* (a), (b), (c),

Sec 203 (a)(1)

*Citations refer to the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act H.R.

Project located on National Forest System Lands (NFSL) w/in the RAC boundary?

Project located on non-Federal lands No adjacent to or near NFSL w/in the RAC boundary?

Non-specific project location with some elements located or occurring w/in the **RAC** boundary (Info. & Education)

Project Rejected

No

No

Yes

Would the project

Yes

Yes

directly benefit the No Rejected resources on NFSL

Project

No

Project is consistent w/ Forest Plans and would benefit the resources on NFSL

Yes

Title II, Sec. 204 (b)(3)

Yes

Project Rejected

Resource Benefits

Sec 2 Purposes (2)(A)(i-iii),(C)(i-Title II Sec. 202 (a), (b),

Sec. 204 (a)(2), (5) Sec 204 (f)**

Environmental

Review ***

Title II Sec. 204

Would the project provide benefits on NFSL in one or more of the following resource categories?

Maintain Existing Infrastructure; Ensure Forest Ecosystem Health; Restore Land Health & Water Quality, Trail or Road Maintenance, Streams & Watersheds**; Soils; Control Noxious Weeds; Native Species; Wildlife or Fish Habitat; Other Resource Projects Consistent with Forest Management Plans.

Project No Rejected

Title II Sec. 204 (a)(2)

Yes

Has necessary NEPA analysis been completed for the project?

Would the RAC pay for No NEPA analysis?

Yes

Project Rejected or No On Hold

Yes (or NEPA not needed)

Project could proceed when NEPA is competed.

Support to **Local Economy**

CIRAC priority list. Sec 2 Purposes (2), Title II Sec 203 (a) (1), (b)(6)(B)CIRAC questions.

Community

Would the project provide benefits to the local economy in one or more of the following categories? Employment - Private/Agency.

Youth Employment/Training. Partnerships. Sustainability. Who benefits? / Who Pays? Where does the money go?

This is not a make or break factor. Projects can be approved even if they have little or no direct economic benefit.

Project Could Be Rejected

?

?

Yes

Support Sec. 2 Purposes (2)(B), (3)(A), (B)Title II Sec.202 (b) Sec. 203 (b)(6)(B) (2,3)

Does the project have support and/or financial backing of one or more of the following? Forest Service, State or County, Private or Non-profit entities, Landowners, other Federal agencies. Others.

No

No

These are not a make or break factors. However, they can influence RAC decisions concerning project recommendations.

?

Project Could Be Rejected

Yes

RAC Support Title II Sec 205 (e)(3)

**Nationwide 50% of Title II funds should be spent on.

Road Maint., Decom., Obliteration, OR Streams & Watershed Restoration.

RAC compares the projects, weighs the factors and votes.

A majority in each 5-member RAC Category is required for project approval.

Yes

RAC Project Approved and Recommended to Designated Federal Officer

No Rejected

Project

***RAC could use a similar row to discuss covering consultation, project design or monitoring costs.

Forest Supervisor's Check List for RAC Projects Salmon – Challis National Forest Central Idaho Resource Advisory Committee

Project Name:	Project Number:
Date:	
The Resource Advisory Committee's proposed proj	ects must satisfy each of the following conditions:
X (1) The project complies with all applicable Fede	eral laws and regulations.
	resource management plan and with any watershed or subnagement plan and approved by the Secretary concerned.
X (3) The project has been approved by the resource cluding the procedures issued under subsection (e) of	e advisory committee in accordance with section 205, in- of such section.
X (4) A project description has been submitted by t in accordance with section 203.	he resource advisory committee to the Secretary concerned
X (5) The project will improve the maintenance of ethat enhance forest ecosystems, and restore and imp	existing infrastructure, implement stewardship objectives rove land health and water quality.
Secure Rural Schools and Community Self D Sec. 204 Evaluation And Approval of Project	· ·

William A. Wood Supervisor, Salmon – Challis National Forest Designated Federal Officer, Central Idaho Resource Advisory Committee